IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION (REVIEW) NO. 25 OF 2006

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 348 OF 2006

THE MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER, PANAJI GOA. ....Applicant

Versus

CITY ASSOCIATION OF STALL OCCUPANTS THROUGH ITS SECRETARY SHRI GAJANAN P. AMONKAR AND ANR., ...Respondents

Mr. A.F. Diniz, Advocate for the Applicant.
Mr. M. P.Amonkar, Advocate for Respondent no.1.
Mr. S. R. Rivonkar, GA for Respondent no.2.

Coram:- J. N. PATEL &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 20th November, 2006

P.C.

Heard.

In view of the fact that the respondent no.1, the original petitioner, have been found to have no vested right in the allotment of space, the observation made by this Court while disposing of the petition on "25.09.2006", which reads as under:

"However, it is made clear that the respondent may provide appropriate place to the members of the petitioner Association to have their kiosks of temporary nature. This shall be done within a period of eight weeks from today."

The part of the Order stands deleted and the same is substituted as under:-

"The petitioner-Association are at liberty to make appropriate representation to the respondent-Municipal Corporation of Panaji for allotment of space for having kiosks of temporary nature. On such representation being made, the applicant-Municipal Corporation shall consider it in accordance with law."

The application is allowed accordingly with no order as to costs.

J. N. PATEL, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

arp/*